

Year: 2022

Volume: 12

Issue: 1

Journal of Current Researches
on Health Sector
(J o C R e H e S)
www.jocrehes.com
ISSN: 2547-9636



Research Article

 Crossref doi: 10.26579/jocrehes.12.1.6

Pharmaceutical Excipients as a Potential Cause for Hypersensitivity and Adverse Drug Reactions

Sara Taha ISMAIL¹ & Baraa Mouloud AL-DABBAGH²

Keywords

Pharmaceutical
Excipients,
Potential Cause,
Hypersensitivity,
Adverse Drug
Reactions.

Abstract

Excipients are the parts of a drug dosage form that are not the dynamic specialist, as indicated by the European Medicines Agency. Excipients utilized in the definition of drugs have been connected to immediate hypersensitivity reactions (IHRs). There is, nonetheless, no data on the commonness of IHRs brought about by pharmacological excipients. Excipient hypersensitivities can show up in an assortment of ways, from skin bothering to perilous foundational responses. The objective of this review was to examine the writing on drug excipient sensitivities and to review the IHRs related to different kinds of medications, explicitly responses to excipients in their details. To deliver a rundown of the regularly ensnared excipients for each kind of medication, the cases were classified in order by sort of drug and excipient.

Article History

Received
8 Mar, 2022
Accepted
17 May, 2022

Introduction

Excipients are defined by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) as the parts of a drug structure other than the dynamic substance (1). An excipient is a latent substance that is added to medication to fluctuate its solvency or assimilation energy, increment solidness, impact attractiveness, or give it an exceptional appearance (2,3). Food varieties or food-inferred compounds (e.g., casein, lysozyme) might be utilized as drug excipients, representing a danger to patients with food hypersensitivities (2,3). Excipient-prompted Immediate hypersensitivity reactions (IHRs) can prompt a bogus positive medication hypersensitivity analysis (4). The objective of this review was to outline excipients that carry a risk for hypersensitivity

Drug formulation with IHRs

Antiepileptic drugs (AEDs)

Responses with random items were brought about via carboxymethylcellulose (CMC). Carbamazepine commercially known with the trade name Tegretol (CMC-containing) delivered a prescription response with eosinophilia and foundational

¹ Corresponding Author. ORCID: 0000-0003-0109-0389. University of Mosul, College of Pharmacy, sara84taha@gmail.com

² ORCID: 0000-0002-0318-9625. University of Mosul, College of Pharmacy, bara.al dabagh@uomosul.edu.iq

indications (DRESS). Following the organization of paracetamol and a non-steroidal mitigating medication, the patient created eczematous rashes (NSAID). Since both the fixed test (PT) and the skin prick test (SPT) for CMC were negative, an oral incitement test with an aggregate portion of 1.115 mg of CMC was done under emergency clinic watch the following day, bringing about an expansive eczematous rash (5).

Antihistamines

Propylene glycol (PG), an emollient and emulsifier generally found in beauty care products, drugs, and food, has been chosen the 2018 Allergen of the Year by the American Contact Dermatitis Society. PG has been connected to contact, fundamental, and aggravating cutaneous responses. Since PG causes both disturbing contact dermatitis and unfavorably allergic contact dermatitis (ACD), deciding the best fix test focus and perusing stretches has been a disputed matter for a long time. As indicated by the latest update for the ACDs center series, testing ought to just be finished with 100% PG. The significance of a right translation is expanded by the befuddling properties of PTs to PG. Contact hypersensitivity is shown by crescendo responses, which show practically no response at 48 hours, however, at that point foster a bigger response at 96 hours. Decrescendo responses, which show gently at 48 hours yet evaporate by 96 hours, are doubtlessly bothering reactions (6-9).

Anxiolytics

Propylene glycol (PG)

Due to PG, responses with disconnected things have happened. Fundamental contact dermatitis has been depicted after the infusion of Valium (diazepam) and, previously, during a gynecologist assessment utilizing the grease K-Y Lubricant Jelly (both containing PG). The PT to PG proportion was favorable (10).

Corticosteroids

CMC causes associations with random products. Flexural dermatitis 3 days after an intra-articular infusion of a triamcinolone readiness (CMC containing), and a trunk maculopapular rash 24 hours in the wake of devouring methylprednisolone a couple of months after the fact (CMC-containing). The PT to CMC was negative, yet the SPT following 24 hours showed a penetrating, erythematous reaction (11-21).

Insulin

Regardless of the kind of human insulin directed, infusion site reactions (ISRs) happen. PTs were viewed as sure for humans, pork, and isophane insulins, just as metacresol, which was found in the tried insulins in general. At the point when a patient who endured Humalog (insulin lispro) began utilizing an insulin siphon, the infusion destinations became bothersome. The sores evaporated in the wake of getting back to Novolog (insulin aspart) infusions. Metacresol was found at different dosages when insulin parts were examined. The PT reaction to metacresol was ideal. Two patients created confined cutaneous touchiness responses in the wake of getting insulin details. 24 hours after infusion, patients saw pruritic, erythematous, well-known injuries at every infusion site. Zinc-insulin

and zinc sulfate made these patients' lymphocytes change over and multiply, just as the formation of a particular leucocyte inhibitory element. The two people had positive zinc intradermal tests (IDTs) (22-30).

Local anesthetics

Severe edema of the face and neck 2 hours after infusion of a dental anesthetic (Neo-Lidocaton) containing Sodium metabisulfite (SMB), which the producer had not recently announced (31). Both the sedation and the SMB inspired deferred positive responses in PTs.

Lubricants

Propylene glycol (PG)

There have been three instances of patients who created hypersensitive contact dermatitis because of various sorts of openness to the oil K-Y Lubricating Jelly (PG-containing). Because of PG, the patients in the initial two cases additionally had reactions to other inconsequential items. Serious vulvitis has been accounted for after contact with K-Y Lubricating Jelly, extreme dermatitis after the utilization of cocoa spread item to the midsection to keep away from stretch imprints, and dermatitis flares after the use of explicit CS creams and the admission of salad dressings containing PG (32).

Mineral supplements

The critical cutaneous response was depicted after using iron protein succinylate (33). Similarly, fluoride tablet (34) and potassium bicarbonate (35) has stimulated severe urticarial and anaphylactic reactions, respectively.

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)

Coloring agent: treatment with Sunset Yellow FCF. PTs utilizing the European standard series uncovered the presence of orange scattering, which is available in the iron plan as Sunset Yellow (36).

Colloidal silica: Voltaren has been connected to a summed-up cutaneous reaction (diclofenac). The PT reaction to Voltarene was positive. The assessment of non-primary cross-reactivity with different NSAIDs uncovered positive PTs to Piroxan (piroxicam), Oki (ketoprofen), and Indocid (indomethacin), all of which included colloidal silica. Positive PT to colloidal silica (37).

Ophthalmic preparation

Eye preparations with benzalkonium chloride (BAC) were induced anaphylaxis (38). While with carboxymethylcellulose (CMC), the ophthalmic preparation induced ocular edema, conjunctival erythema, and urticaria (39). Eye, tongue, and lips were distended alongside dyspnea with a sensation of nasal obstruction and throat constriction; these were associated with povidone pyrrolidone (PVP) (40).

Allergic contact conjunctivitis in a patient after treatment with two prednisolone ophthalmic preparation, predefine first, and afterward Inflammatory reaction, the two of which exacerbated edema and conjunctivitis. BAC is available in the two formulations. The two formulations evoked positive PTs, while BAC has a high sure reaction. Two instances of BAC contact hypersensitivity were recorded after

treatment with Propine (dipivefrin hydrochloride) and Timoptol (timolol maleate), The two PTs test positive for BAC (37).

Thimerosal: A complete of 38 people were assessed because of visual redness, disturbance, and corneal irregularities that were believed to be brought about by wearing delicate contact focal points. Every one of the patients used thimerosal-containing answers for focal point care, and 31 of them responded to a visual test with a thimerosal-safeguarded focal point. 27 of the 31 individuals tried positive for thimerosal PTs. The clinical discoveries were believed to be brought about by thimerosal hypersensitivity (41).

Parenteral medications

Benzyl alcohol (BnOH): After infusion of sodium tetradecyl sulfate, a sclerosing specialist used to treat varicose veins, ACD happens because of BnOH. The PT to BnOH test brought about a positive result (42-44).

Topical medications

Ascorbyl tetraisopalmitate (an ester-adjusted ascorbic corrosive compound) in A topical non-steroidal formulation considered as mitigating cream expected to treat atopic dermatitis, causes extreme ACD. PTs were completed utilizing the cream's parts, which were given by the maker. Positive PT for Ascorbyl tetraisopalmitate. The patient endured nutrient C from suppers nicely (45).

BnOH and isopropyl palmitate cause a response with Visderm cream (amcinonide). The cream just as these two excipients were viewed as sure by PTs.

Cetostearyl alcohol in hydrocortisone butyrate lipocream causes ACD. Cetostearyl liquor (Lanette O), a part of lanolin that cross-responds with fleece alcohols and produces positive PTs, was the sole part of the cream to which the patient responded with positive PTs (46).

Chlorocresol and PG

Two instances of ACD brought about by chlorocresol and PG with Dermovate cream (17-clobetasol propionate) have been accounted for. Chlorocresol and PG were demonstrated to be positive in PTs (47).

Diocetyl sodium sulfosuccinate (DSS)

Due to DSS, ACD was portrayed after treatment with Esperson gel (desoximetasone). DSS showed a solid ideal impact in PTs performed with Esperson excipients, including gel and balm provided by the maker (47).

Edetic acid (EDTA)

An instance of ACD to EDTA as an excipient in the plan of LocacortenVioform glue. PTs were sodium edetate positive. 1,2,6-Hexanetriol ACD brought about by 1,2,6-hexametrical was portrayed after treatment with a fluocinonide cream. The cream parts showed a great reactivity to 1,2,6-hexanetriol in PTs (47, 48, 49).

Parabens

After utilizing Cortaid moisturizer (hydrocortisone acetic acid derivation) with methyl and butyl parabens, three patients created ACD. The moisturizer and a

paraben blend (Hollister-Stier Laboratories) got a ton of good PTs. Besides the parabens, in any case, all of the cream constituents had negative PTs. For an aggravated abrasion, the patient put the cream to his left side axilla. He fostered a wide dermatitis of the left axilla, chest, and upper mid-region, which required the utilization of foundational corticosteroids (49).

Polyethylene glycol (PEG)

According to the review, contact affectability to PEGs of different atomic weights was found in 6.7 percent of 120 people with suspected skin medicine affectability. In the later exploration of 836 patients with ACD brought about by PEG, 4.2 percent of the patients had positive PTs to PEG, and this affectability was exclusively connected to nitrofurazone allergy (49).

PG

Identified three instances of nearby ACD in light of Zovirax (aciclovir) cream. The general item was positive, however, aciclovir was negative. As far as PTs to PG, two patients were negative at convergences of 2% and 5% in petrolatum (pet), separately, however sure at 5% pet and 10% glycerin. The third quiet's PTs were positive for PG at both 5% pet and 10% fluid fixations (aq). These cases exhibit the high predominance of bogus negative responses to PG, suggesting that PG ought to be utilized at 10-20% fixations or in vehicles other than a pet, like glycerin or watery solution (50).

Sodium sulfite

There has been an instance of ACD brought about by sodium sulfite in a ketoconazole cream (Nizoral). The cream, an indistinguishable control cream base (without the dynamic part ketoconazole), and sodium sulfite all delivered significant PTs (50).

Sorbitan sesquioleate (SSO)

In a study of 112 dermatitis patients to check whether SSO could be utilized as a sensitizer, 8.9% of the patients had positive PTs to SSO, 0.9 percent to sorbitan monooleate, and 1.8 percent to both. 75% of SSO-positive patients utilized skin CS medicines emulsified with sorbitan subordinates or sorbitol, and 15.4% of sorbitan-positive patients had an attending CS hypersensitivity, exhibiting the connection between sorbitan emulsifiers, CS use, and the advancement of ACD (Preuss et al., 2020).

Ultrasound gels

Imidazolidinyl urea causes responses with random mixtures. A response was depicted after the underlying organization of ultrasound gel (Meditec SRL) and, beforehand, a sunscreen salve (Avon). Triethanolamine, the gel, and the sunscreen all tried positive in PTs (both containing imidazolidinyl urea). Triethanolamine and imidazolidinyl urea were distinguished in PTs utilizing sunscreen parts given by the manufacturer (52).

Vaccines

Formaldehyde: 48 hours after IM infusion of flu antibody Agriflu (formaldehyde-containing) in the right deltoid muscle, fundamental ACD on the foremost chest and shoulders. The response of PT to formaldehyde was positive (53).

Wound Dressings: CMC-actuated responses with the inconsequential item. A patient procured persistent summed up urticaria in the wake of fostering bigotry to an injury dressing (counting CMC) for leg ulcers. Urticaria initially emerged when levothyroxine (a thyroid chemical) pills were presented, as indicated by the sequence. CMC IDTs yielded positive results (54).

Colophonium: ACD was brought about by an adjusted colophonium found in Combiderm, a hydrocolloid dressing. PTs reacted decidedly to this dressing and an adjusted colophonium subsidiary, glyceryl resinate, yet not to unmodified gum rosin or colophonium 20% pet., as in the typical series. The response to glyceryl resinate is in all probability because of cross-sensitivity with the altered colophonium subordinate utilized in Combiderm, of which the producer affirmed the presence (yet not the particular sort). As per the creators' decisions, PT to adjusted colophonium subordinates ought to be directed in patients who have ACD from hydrocolloid dressings and colophonium tests are negative. Moreover, because the entire arrangement of wound dressings is oftentimes obscure, push for naming norms for those, and without a doubt all medicinally utilized products (56, 57).

Conclusion: The volume and assortment of recorded instances of DHRs brought about by excipients underlines the need to incorporate all excipients in a drug's detailing in the bundle embed, keeping away from the need to contact the producer for a compound rundown. The location of hypersensitive reactions and the execution of safe aversion strategies to forestall future responses in sharpened patients could be helped by exact naming of arrangements and normalization of excipients terminology. At long last, since excipients might be remembered for drugs that are required all through life, it would be exceptionally useful to give a rundown of business items that contain the trigger part just as options in contrast to people hypersensitive to excipients.

References

1. European Medicines Agency. Doc. Ref. EMEA/CHMP/QWP/396951/2006. London, 19 June 2007.
2. Audicana Berasategui MT, Barasona Villarejo MJ, Corominas Sánchez M, De Barrio Fernández M, García Avilés MC, García Robaina JC, et al. Potential hypersensitivity due to the food or food additive content of medicinal products in Spain. *J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol*. 2011; 21:496-506.
3. Kelso JM. Potential food allergens in medications. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2014;133: 1509-18.
4. Barbaud A. Place of excipients in systemic drug allergy. *Immunol Allergy Clin N Am*. 2014;34:671-9.

5. Barbaud A, Waton J, Pinault A, Bursztejn A, Schmutz J, Trechot P. Cutaneous
6. adverse drug reactions caused by delayed sensitization to carboxymethylcellulose. *Contact Dermatitis* 2011;64:294-7.
7. Fisher A. Immediate and delayed allergic contact reactions to polyethylene glycol. *Contact Dermatitis* 1978;4:135-8.
8. Stenveld HJ, Langendijk PN, Bruynzeel DP. Contact sensitivity to polyethylene glycols. *Contact Dermatitis* 1994;30:184-5.
9. Guijarro S, Sanchez-Perez J, Garcia-Diez A. Allergic contact dermatitis to polyethylene glycol and nitrofurazone. *Am J Contact Dermat* 1999; 10:226-7.
10. Prieto A, Baeza M, Herrero T, Barranco R, De Castro F, Ruiz J, et al. Contact dermatitis to Furacin. *Contact Dermatitis* 2006; 54:126.
11. Fisher A. Systemic contact dermatitis due to intravenous Valium in a person
12. sensitive to propylene glycol. *Cutis* 1995; 55:327-8.
13. Field S, Falvey E, Barry J, Bourke J. Type 1 hypersensitivity reaction to carboxymethylcellulose following intra-articular triamcinolone injection. *Contact Dermatitis* 2009; 61:302-3.
14. Bigliardi P, Izakovic J, Weber J, Bircher A. Anaphylaxis to the carbohydrate carboxymethylcellulose in parenteral corticosteroid preparations. *Dermatology* 2003;207:100-3.
15. Rival-Tringali A, Gunera-Saad N, Berard F, Chambost V, Roziere A, Guillot-Pouget I, et al. Tolerability of oral administration of carboxymethylcellulose in two patients presenting anaphylactic reaction after carboxymethylcellulose injection. *Ann Dermatol Venereol* 2008;135:402-6.
16. Patterson D, Yunginger J, Dunn W, Jones R, Hunt L. Anaphylaxis induced by the carboxymethylcellulose component of injectable triamcinolone acetonide suspension (Kenalog). *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 1995;74:163-6.
17. Beaudouin E, Kanny G, Gueant J, Moneret-Vautrin D. Anaphylaxis caused by carboxymethylcellulose: report of 2 cases of shock from injectable corticoids. *Allerg Immunol (Paris)* 1992;24:333-5.
18. Meyer M, Zachariae C, Garvey L. Anaphylactic shock after intradermal injection of corticosteroid. *Ugeskr Laeger* 2015;177:V10140532.
19. Schuster C, Wuthrich B, Hartmann K, Kuhn M. Anaphylaxis to E466. *Allergy* 2000;55:303-4.
20. Montoro J, Valero A, Elices A, Rubira N, Serra-Baldrich E, Amat P, et al. Anaphylactic shock after intra-articular injection of carboxymethylcellulose. *Allergol Immunopathol (Madr)* 2000;28:332-3.

21. Venturini M, Lobera T, del Pozo MD, Gonzalez I, Blasco A. Immediate hypersensitivity to corticosteroids. *J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol* 2006;16: 51-6.
22. Laing M, Fallis B, Murphy G. Anaphylactic reaction to intralesional corticosteroid injection. *Contact Dermatitis* 2007;57:132-3.
23. Field S, Falvey E, Barry J, Bourke J. Type 1 hypersensitivity reaction to carboxymethylcellulose following intra-articular triamcinolone injection. *Contact Dermatitis* 2009;61:302-3.
24. Ghazavi MK, Johnston GA. Insulin allergy. *Clin Dermatol.* 2011;29:300-5.
25. Wheeler BJ, Taylor BJ. Successful management of allergy to the insulin excipient metacresol in a child with type 1 diabetes: a case report. *J Med Case Rep.* 2012;6:263.
26. Hagedorn HC, Jensen BN, Kraup NB, Wodstrup I. Protamine insulinate. *JAMA.* 1936;106:177-80.
27. Sanchez MB, Paolillo M, Chacon RS, Camejo M. Protamine as a cause of generalized allergic reactions to NPH insulin. *Lancet.* 1982;1:1243.
28. Dykewicz MS, Kim HW, Orfan N, Yoo TJ, Lieberman P. Immunologic analysis of anaphylaxis protamine component in neutral protamine Hagedorn human insulin. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 1994;93:117-25.
29. Bollinger ME, Hamilton RG, Wood RA. Protamine allergy as a complication of insulin hypersensitivity: A case report. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 1999;104:462-5.
30. Bruni B, Campana M, Gamba S, Grassi G, Blatto A. A generalized allergic reaction due to zinc in insulin preparation. *Diabetes Care.* 1985;8:201.
31. Ben Ammar I, Ksouri H, Trabelsi N, Mellouli F, Ben Mami F, Dakhli S, et al. Generalized allergy due to zinc in insulin treated with zinc-free insulin. *Acta Diabetol.* 2012;49:239-41.
32. Gin H, Aubertin J. Generalized allergy due to zinc and protamine in insulin preparation treated with insulin pump. *Diabetes Care.* 1987;10:789-90.
33. Doods-Goossens A, De Alam AG, Degreef H, Kocnuyt A. Local anesthetic intolerance due to metabisulfite. *Contact Dermatitis.* 1989 Feb;20(2):124-6.
34. Fisher A, Brancaccio R. Allergic contact sensitivity to propylene glycol in a lubricant jelly. *Arch Dermatol* 1979;115:1451.
35. Larramendi CH, Marco FM, Garc a-Abujeta JL, Mateo M, de la Vega A, Sempere JM. Acute allergic reaction to an iron compound in a milk-allergic patient. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol.* 2006;17:230-3.
36. Hyry H, Vuorio A, Varjonen E, Skytt J, M kinen-Kiljunen S. Two cases of anaphylaxis to macrogol 6000 after ingestion of drug tablets. *Allergy.* 2006;61:1021.

37. Caballero ML, Lluch-Bernal M, Vilà-Nadal G, Lluncor M, Quirce S. IgE-Mediated Anaphylaxis Induced by Macrogol 6000. *J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol.* 2016; 26:398-400.
38. Hebron BS, Hebron HJ. Aspirin sensitivity: acetylsalicylate or excipients. *Intern Med J.* 2009;39:546-9.
39. Laura A, Arianna G, Francesca C, Carlo C, Carla M, Giampaolo R. Hypersensitivity reactions to food and drug additives: problem or myth?. *Acta Bio Medica: Atenei Parmensis.* 2019;90(Suppl 3):80.
40. Anderson D, Faltay B, Haller NA. Anaphylaxis with use of eyedrops containing benzalkonium chloride preservative. *Clin Exp Optom.* 2009; 92:444-6.
41. Carbonell A, Miralles JC, Escudero AI, Martinez A, Pineda F, Aldana D. Urticaria-angioedema due to carboxymethylcellulose eye drops. *J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol.* 2012; 22:288-9.
42. Liccioli G, Mori F, Barni S, Pucci N, Novembre E. Anaphylaxis to Polyvinylpyrrolidone in Eye Drops Administered to an Adolescent. *J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol.* 2018; 28:263-5.
43. Price CS, Thompson WW, Goodson B, Weintraub ES, Croen LA, Hinrichsen VL, Marcy M, Robertson A, Eriksen E, Lewis E, Bernal P. Prenatal and infant exposure to thimerosal from vaccines and immunoglobulins and risk of autism. *Pediatrics.* 2010 Oct;126(4):656-64.
44. Grant JA, Bilodeau PA, Guernsey BG, Gardner FH. Unsuspected benzyl alcohol hypersensitivity. *N Engl J Med.* 1982;306:108.
45. Turvey SE, Cronin B, Arnold AD, Twarog FJ, Dioun AF. Adverse reactions to vitamin B12 injections due to benzyl alcohol sensitivity: successful treatment with intranasal cyanocobalamin. *Allergy.* 2004;59:1023-4.
46. Wilson JP, Solimando DA Jr, Edwards MS. Parenteral benzyl alcohol-induced hypersensitivity reaction. *Drug Intell Clin Pharm.* 1986;20:689-91.
47. Lawson G, Ogwu J, Tanna S. Quantitative screening of the pharmaceutical ingredient for the rapid identification of substandard and falsified medicines using reflectance infrared spectroscopy. *PLoS One.* 2018 Aug 10;13(8):e0202059.
48. Oleffe J, Blondeel A, de Coninck A. Allergy to chlorocresol and propylene glycol in a steroid cream. *Contact Dermatitis* 1979;5:53-4.
49. Caballero ML, Quirce S. Delayed hypersensitivity reactions caused by drug excipients: a literature review. *J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol.* 2020 Jan 1;30(6):400-8.
50. de Groot AC. Contact allergy to EDTA in a topical corticosteroid preparation. *Contact Dermatitis.* 1986 Sep;15(4):250-2.
51. Nilsson L, Csuth Á, Storsaeter J, Garvey LH, Jenmalm MC. Vaccine allergy: evidence to consider for COVID-19 vaccines. *Current opinion in allergy and clinical immunology.* 2021 Aug;21(4):401.

52. Preuss JF, Goddard CE, Clarke RC, Platt PR, Sadleir PH. Anaphylaxis to intravenous paracetamol containing povidone. A case report and narrative review of excipient allergy related to anaesthesia. *Anaesthesia and Intensive Care*. 2020 Sep;48(5):404-8.
53. De Waard-van der Spek FB, Devillers AC, Oranje AP. Allergic contact dermatitis to sorbitan sesquioleate in Adaptic wound dressing. *Contact Dermatitis*. 2007 Jul 1;57(1):54-6.
54. Kessler J, Schafhalter-Zoppoth I, Gray AT. Allergic contact dermatitis caused by ultrasonic gel. *Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine*. 2006 Sep 1;31(5):480.
55. Kuritzky LA, Pratt M. Systemic allergic contact dermatitis after formaldehyde-containing influenza vaccination. *Journal of cutaneous medicine and surgery*. 2015 Sep;19(5):504-6.
56. Matthay MA. Mesenchymal Stem Cells for Treatment of ARDS Following Trauma. University of California, San Francisco San Francisco United States; 2019 Oct 1.
57. Finnie A. Hydrocolloids in wound management: pros and cons. *British journal of community nursing*. 2002 Jul;7(7):338-45.
58. Fletcher J. The benefits of using hydrocolloids. *Nursing times*. 2003 May 1;99(21):57-.
59. Rogers AA, Walmsley RS, Rippon MG, Bowler PG. Adsorption of serum-derived proteins by primary dressings: implications for dressing adhesion to wounds. *Journal of Wound Care*. 1999 Sep;8(8):403-6.